arXiv:1002.3962v1 [math.FA] 21 Feb 2010

APPROXIMATE DIAGONALIZATION OF SELF–ADJOINT MATRICES OVER $C(M)$

YIFENG XUE

Abstract. Let M be a compact Hausdorff space. We prove that in this paper, every self–adjoint matrix over $C(M)$ is approximately diagonalizable iff $\dim M \leq 2$ and $\mathrm{H}^2(M,\mathbb{Z}) \cong 0$. Using this result, we show that every unitary matrix over $C(M)$ is approximately diagonalizable iff $\dim M \leq 2$, $\mathrm{H}^1(M,\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{H}^2(M,\mathbb{Z}) \cong 0$ when M is a compact metric space.

1. Introduction

For a unital C^*–algebra, we write $U(\mathcal{A})$ (resp. $U_0(\mathcal{A})$) to denote the unitary group of $\mathcal{A}$ (resp. the connected component of the unit in $U(\mathcal{A})$). We also denote by $\mathrm{M}_n(\mathcal{A})$ the matrix algebra of $n \times n$ over $\mathcal{A}$. Set $U_1(\mathcal{A}) = U(\mathcal{A})$ (resp. $U_1^0(\mathcal{A}) = U_0(\mathcal{A})$) and $U_n(\mathcal{A}) = U(\mathrm{M}_n(\mathcal{A}))$, $U_n^0(\mathcal{A}) = U_0(\mathrm{M}_n(\mathcal{A}))$.

In [10], Kadison proved that for a Von Neunnam algebra $\mathcal{A}$, every normal matrix $A \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ can be diagonalized, i.e., there are $U \in U_n(\mathcal{A})$ and $d_1, \cdots, d_n \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $UAU^* = \mathrm{diag}\,(d_1, \cdots, d_n)$. He also showed that if $\mathcal{A}$ is only assumed to be a C^*–algebra, the result may fail. At same time, Grove and Pederson in [5] considered the problem of diagonlization of normal matrices over $C(M)$, where M is a compact Hausdorff space. They characterized the M that allows diagonalization of every normal element in $M_n(C(M))$. Of course, these conditions in [5] are very complicated and hard to be verified.

Recently, because of the study of the classification of AI–algebras and AT–algebras, the approximate diagonalization of the self–adjoint matrix over $C([0,1])$ and some kind of unitary matrix over $C(\mathbf{S^1})$ are given respectively (cf. [15, Example 3.1.6]). For more general case, Choi and Elliott showed that if the dimension of the compact Hausdorff space M is no more than two, then every self–adjoint element in $M_n(C(M))$ can been approximated by a self–adjoint element with n distinct eigenvalues in [3]. Using this result, Thomsen proved that if $\dim M \leq 2$ and $\mathrm{H}^2(M,\mathbb{Z}) \cong 0$, then two self–adjoint elements $a, b \in M_n(C(M))$ are approximately unitarily equivalent iff $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ have same eigenvalues, $\forall\, x \in M$ (cf. [16, Theorem 1.2, Corollary 1.3]).

In this paper, we first show that if every self–adjoint matrix over $C(M)$ is approximately diaonalizable, then $\dim M \leq 2$ and $\mathrm{H}^2(M,\mathbb{Z}) \cong 0$ and then we give a constructed proof of Choi and Elliotts' result mentioned above.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L05.

Key words and phrases. approximately diagonal, dimension of compact Huasdorff space, Cěch cohomological group, vector bundle.

Project supported by Natural Science Foundation of China (no.10771069) and Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project(no.B407).

2. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, $\mathcal{A}$ is a C^*–algebra with unit 1 and M is a compact Haudorff space.

We view $\mathcal{A}^n$ as the set of all $n\times 1$ matrices over $\mathcal{A}$. Set

$$S_n(\mathcal{A})=\{(a_1,\cdots,a_n)^T\in\mathcal{A}^n|\ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^*a_i=1\},$$

$$\mathrm{Lg}_n(\mathcal{A})=\{(a_1,\cdots,a_n)^T\in\mathcal{A}^n|\ \sum_{i=1}^n b_ia_i=1,\ \text{for some } b_1,\cdots,b_n\in\mathcal{A}\}.$$

According to [13] and [14], the topological stable rank, the cnnected stable rank and the general stable rank of $\mathcal{A}$ are defined respectively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{tsr}\,(\mathcal{A})&=\min\{\,n\in\mathbb{N}|\ \mathcal{A}^m\ \text{ is dense in } \mathrm{Lg}_m(\mathcal{A}),\forall m\ge n\,\}\\ \mathrm{csr}\,(\mathcal{A})&=\min\{\,n\in\mathbb{N}|\ U_m^0(\mathcal{A})\ \text{ acts transitively on } S_m(\mathcal{A}),\forall m\ge n\,\}\\ \mathrm{gsr}\,(\mathcal{A})&=\min\{\,n\in\mathbb{N}|\ U_m(\mathcal{A})\ \text{ acts transitively on } S_m(\mathcal{A}),\forall m\ge n\,\}.\end{aligned}$$

If no such integer exists, we set $\mathrm{tsr}\,(\mathcal{A})=\infty$, $\mathrm{csr}\,(\mathcal{A})=\infty$ and $\mathrm{gsr}\,(\mathcal{A})=\infty$ respectively. Those stable ranks of C^*–algebras are very usful tools in computing K–groups of C^*–algebras (cf. [14], [18], [19] and [20] etc.). From [13] and [11], we have

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital C^*–algebra and M be a compact Hausdorff space. Then*

(1) $\mathrm{gsr}\,(\mathcal{A})\le\mathrm{csr}\,(\mathcal{A})\le\mathrm{tsr}\,(\mathcal{A})+1$;
(2) $\mathrm{tsr}\,(C(M))=\Big[\dfrac{\dim M}{2}\Big]+1,\ \mathrm{csr}\,(C(M))\le\Big[\dfrac{\dim M+1}{2}\Big]+1$;

Using topological stable rank and general stable rank, we can deduce a key lemma of this paper as follows:

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that $\mathrm{tsr}\,(\mathcal{A})\le 2$ and $\mathrm{gsr}\,(\mathcal{A})\le 2$. Let $A=(a_{ij})_{n\times n}$ $(n\ge 3)$ be self–adjoint element in $M_n(\mathcal{A})$. Then for any epsilon >0, there are $U\in U_n(\mathcal{A})$ and $b_1,\cdots,b_{n-1}\in\mathcal{A}$ with $b_1,\cdots,b_{n-2}>0$ such that*

$$\left\|U^*AU-\begin{bmatrix}a_{11}&b_1&&\\ b_1&a_{22}&\ddots&\\ &\ddots&\ddots&b_{n-1}^*\\ &&b_{n-1}&a_{nn}\end{bmatrix}\right\|<(n-1)^{3/2}\epsilon.$$

Proof. Since $\mathrm{tsr}\,(\mathcal{A})\le 2$, there exists $(a_{21}^{(2)},\cdots,a_{n1}^{(2)})^T\in\mathrm{Lg}_{n-1}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\|a_{i1}-a_{i1}^{(2)}\|<\epsilon$, $i=2,\cdots,n$. Since $b_1=\Big[\sum\limits_{i=2}^n\big(a_{i1}^{(2)}\big)^*a_{i1}^{(2)}\Big]^{1/2}$ is invertible, $(a_{21}^{(2)}b_1^{-1},\cdots,a_{n1}^{(2)}b_1^{-1})^T\in S_{n-1}(\mathcal{A})$. Thus, from $\mathrm{gsr}\,(\mathcal{A})\le 2$, we get $U_1\in U_{n-1}(\mathcal{A})$ such that

$$U_1(a_{21}^{(2)}b_1^{-1},\cdots,a_{n1}^{(2)}b_1^{-1})^T=(1,0,\cdots,0)^T\ \text{or}\ U_1(a_{21}^{(2)},\cdots,a_{n1}^{(2)})^T=(b_1,0,\cdots,0)^T.$$

Set $U^{(1)}=\mathrm{diag}\,(1,U_1)$. Then using $a_{ij}=a_{ji}^*$, $i,\,j=1,\cdots$, we have

$$U^{(1)}\begin{bmatrix}a_{11}&\big(a_{i1}^{(2)}\big)^*&\cdots&\big(a_{n1}^{(2)}\big)^*\\ a_{21}^{(2)}&a_{22}&\cdots&a_{2n}\\ \vdots&\vdots&\ddots&\vdots\\ a_{i1}^{(2)}&a_{n2}&\cdots&a_{nn}\end{bmatrix}\Big(U^{(1)}\Big)^*=\begin{bmatrix}a_{11}&b_{(1)}^T\\ b_{(1)}&A_1\end{bmatrix},$$

where $b_{(1)} = (b_1, 0, \cdots, 0)^T \in \mathcal{A}^{n-1}$, $A_1 = A_1^* \in \mathrm{M}_{n-1}\mathcal{A}$. Simple computation shows that

$$\left\| U^{(1)} A (U^{(1)})^* - \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & b_{(1)}^T \\ b_{(1)} & A_1 \end{bmatrix} \right\| < \sqrt{n-1}\,\epsilon.$$

Using the same way as above to A_1, after $n-2$ times, we can find a $U \in U_n(\mathcal{A})$ and invertible positive elements $b_1, \cdots, b_{n-2} \in \mathcal{A}$ and an element $b_{n-1} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\left\| U^* A U - \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & b_1 & & \\ b_1 & a_{22} & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & b_{n-1}^* \\ & & b_{n-1} & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \right\| < (\sqrt{2} + \cdots + \sqrt{n-1}) < (n-1)^{3/2}\epsilon.$$

□

The following lemma concers the extension of continuous map.

Lemma 2.3. *Let M_0 be a closed subset of M and $f_0\colon M_0 \to U(\mathcal{A})$ be a continuous map. Then there are an open subset O in M containing M_0 and a continuous map $f\colon O \to U(\mathcal{A})$ such that $f\big|_{M_0} = f_0$.*

Proof. By [4, P360], there is a continuous map $g\colon M \to \mathcal{A}$ such that $g\big|_{M_0} = f_0$. Thus for any $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and any $x_0 \in M_0$, there is an open subset $V(x_0)$ in M containing x_0 such that $\|g(x) - g(x_0)\| < \epsilon$ whenever $x \in V(x_0)$. Since $M_0 = \bigcup\limits_{x_0 \in M_0} (V(x_0) \cap M_0)$ and M_0 is compact, it follows that there are $x_1, \cdots, x_n \in M_0$ such that $M_0 = \bigcup\limits_{i=1}^{n} (V(x_i) \cap M_0)$. Set $O = \bigcup\limits_{i=1}^{n} V(x_i)$. Then O is open and contains M_0. Furthermore, for any $x \in M$, there is x_i such that

$$\|g(x) - g(x_i)\| = \|g(x) - f_0(x_i)\| < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}. \tag{2.1}$$

(2.1) implies that $(f_0(x_i))^* g(x)$ is invertible in $\mathcal{A}$ and so is the $g(x)$ and moreover,

$$\|(g(x))^{-1}\| = \|[f_0(x_i))^* g(x)]^{-1}\| < 2, \ \forall\, x \in O.$$

Now set $f(x) = g(x)[(g(x))^* g(x)]^{-1/2}$, $x \in O$. Then $f\colon O \to U(\mathcal{A})$ is continuous and $f\big|_{M_0} = f_0$ (for $g\big|_{M_0} = f_0$). □

The following results are well–known in Matrix Thoery, which come from [17].

Lemma 2.4. *Let A be a self–adjoint matrix in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.*

(1) *If A is tri–diagonal such that the elements in subdiagonal line are nonzero, then A has n distinct eigenvalues*;

(2) *Let $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of A, ordered non–increasingly and each eigenvalue repeated according to its multiplicity. For every nonzero subspace $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, set $\lambda_A(V) = \min\{(Ax, x) \,|\, x \in V,\ \|x\| = 1\}$. Then*

$$\lambda_j = \max\{\lambda_A(V) \,|\, V \subset \mathbb{C}^n, \dim V = j\},\ j = 1, \cdots, n.$$

Now applying Lemma 2.4 to self–adjoint matrices in $\mathrm{M}_n(C(M))$, we have

Corollary 2.5. *Let A, B be two self–adjoint matrices in $M_n(C(M))$. For each $x\in M$, let $\lambda_1(x)\geq\cdots\geq\lambda_n(x)$, $\mu_1(x)\geq\cdots\geq\mu_n(x)$ be the eigenvalues of $A(x)$ and $B(x)$, ordered non–increasingly and counted with its multiplicity, respectively. Then, for every x, $y\in M$ and $j=1,\cdots,n$,*

1. $|\lambda_j(x)-\lambda_j(y)|\leq\|A(x)-A(y)\|$;
2. $|\lambda_j(x)-\mu_j(x)|\leq\|A(x)-B(x)\|$.

Proof. We only prove (2). The proof of (1) is similar.

Let V be any nonzero subspace of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then for each $x\in M$ and $\xi\in V$,

$$(A(x)\xi,\xi)\leq\|A(x)-B(x)\|\|\xi\|^2+(B(x)\xi,\xi),$$
$$(A(x)\xi,\xi)\geq-\|A(x)-B(x)\|\|\xi\|^2+(B(x)\xi,\xi)$$

Thus,

$$\lambda_{A(x)}(V)\leq\|A(x)-B(x)\|+\lambda_{B(x)}(V),\quad \lambda_{A(x)}(V)\geq-\|A(x)-B(x)\|+\lambda_{B(x)}(V)$$

$\forall\, x\in M$ and consequently, by Lemma 2.4,

$$\lambda_j(x)\leq\|A(x)-B(x)\|+\lambda_j(x),\quad \mu_j(x)\leq\|A(x)-B(x)\|+\lambda_j(x),$$

i.e., $|\lambda_j(x)-\mu_j(x)|\leq\|A(x)-B(x)\|$, $\forall\, x\in M$ and $j=1,\cdots,n$. □

Let Det (resp. Tr) denote the determinant (resp. trace) on $\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Define functions $\det,\ \mathrm{tr}:\mathrm{M}_n(C(M))\to C(M)$ by

$$\det(A)(x)=\mathrm{Det}(A(x)),\quad \mathrm{tr}(A)(x)=\mathrm{Tr}(A(x)),\ \forall\, A\in\mathrm{M}_n(C(M)),\ x\in M$$

respectively. By means of some theory in Linear Algebra, we have

Lemma 2.6. *Let A, $B\in M_n(C(M))$. Then*

1. $\det(AB)=\det(A)\det(B)$, $\mathrm{tr}(A+B)=\mathrm{tr}(A)+\mathrm{tr}(B)$;
2. $\mathrm{tr}(AB)=\mathrm{tr}(BA)$, $\|\mathrm{tr}(A)-\mathrm{tr}(B)\|\leq n\,\|A-B\|$;
3. $\|\det(A)-\det(B)\|\leq n!\Big(\sum\limits_{k=0}^{n-1}\|A\|^k\|B\|^{n-k-1}\Big)\|A-B\|$;
4. *A is invertible in $M_n(C(M))$ iff* $\mathrm{Det}(A(x))\neq 0$, $\forall\, x\in M$.

3. A NECESSARY CONDITION

Definition 3.1. *An element $A\in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ $(n\geq 2)$ is said to be approximately diagonalizable, if for any $\epsilon>0$, there are $U\in U_n(\mathcal{A})$ and $a_1,\cdots,a_n\in\mathcal{A}$ such that*

$$\|UAU^*-\mathrm{diag}\,(a_1,\cdots,a_n)\|<\epsilon. \tag{3.1}$$

$\mathcal{A}$ is called to be approximately diagonal (**AD**)*, if for any $n\geq 2$, every self–adjoint element in $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ $(n\geq 2)$ can be approximate diagonalization.*

Clearly, if A is self–adjoint (or unitary), then $a_1,\cdots,a_n$ in (3.1) can be chosen as self–adjoint (or unitary).

Lemma 3.2. *Let P be a approximately diagonalizable projection in $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ ($n \geq 2$). Then there are $U \in U_n(\mathcal{A})$ and projections $p_1, \cdots, p_n \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $UPU^* = \mathrm{diag}\,(p_1, \cdots, p_n)$.*

Proof. By assumption, there are $W \in U_n(\mathcal{A})$ and self–adjoint elements $a_1, \cdots, a_n \in A$ such that

$$\|WPW^* - \mathrm{diag}\,(a_1, \cdots, a_n)\| < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Put $A = \mathrm{diag}\,(a_1, \cdots, a_n)$. Then by [9, Lemma 2.5.4], there exists a projection Q in the C^*–algebra generated by A such that

$$\|WPW^* - Q\| < 2\,\|WPW^* - A\| < 1. \tag{3.2}$$

Since A is diagonal, Q has the form $Q = \mathrm{diag}\,(p_1, \cdots, p_n)$, where $p_1, \cdots, p_n \in \mathcal{A}$ are projections. Finally, Using [9, Lemma 2.5.1] to (3.2), we can find $W_0 \in U_n^0(\mathcal{A})$ such that $W_0WPW^*W_0^* = \mathrm{diag}\,(p_1, \cdots, p_n)$. Put $U = W_0W$. Then we get the assertion. □

Recall from [7] that a compact Hausdorff space M is of $\dim M \leq n$ iff for each closed subset A of M, any continuous map $f\colon A \to \mathbf{S^n}$ can be extended to M and if $\dim M < \infty$, then $\dim M \leq n$ iff $i^*\colon \mathrm{H}^n(M, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^n(A, \mathbb{Z})$ is epimorphic for any closed subset A of M, where $\mathrm{H}^n(M, \mathbb{Z})$ is the n'th Cěch Cohomology of M and i^* is the induced homomorphism of the inclusion $i\colon A \to M$ on $\mathrm{H}^n(M, \mathbb{Z})$.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that $C(M)$ is* (AD). *Then* $\dim M \leq 3$.

Proof. If $\dim M > 3$, then exist a closed subset M_0 of M and a continuous map $f_0\colon M_0 \to \mathbf{S^3}$ which can not be extended to M. Write $f_0(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x))^T$, $x \in M_0$, where $f_1, f_2\colon M_0 \to \mathbb{C}$ are continuous and $|f_1(x)|^2 + |f_2(x)|^2 = 1$, $\forall\, x \in M_0$. Put

$$U_0(x) = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x) & -\overline{f_2(x)} \\ f_2(x) & \overline{f_1(x)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad x \in M_0.$$

Then $U_0 \in U_2(C(M_0))$ and it follows from Lemma 2.3 that there are an open subset O in M containing M_0 and a continuous map $V\colon O \to U_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that $V\big|_{M_0} = U_0$. Pick a continuous function $h\colon M \to [0, 1]$ such that $h(x) = 1$, if $x \in M_0$ and $h(x) = 0$ when $x \in O \backslash M_0$. Now define a normal element $N \in \mathrm{M}_2(C(M))$ by

$$N(x) = \begin{cases} h(x)V(x) & x \in O \\ 0 & x \in M \backslash O \end{cases}$$

and put $A_1 = \frac{1}{2}(N + N^*)$, $A_2 = \frac{1}{2i}(N - N^*)$. By hypothesis, there are $W \in U_2(C(M))$ and continuous real valued functions λ_1, λ_2 on M such that

$$\|WA_2W^* - \mathrm{diag}\,(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)\| < \frac{1}{6}. \tag{3.3}$$

Note that $A_1 = \mathrm{diag}\,(h\mathrm{Re}(f_1), h\mathrm{Re}(f_1))$. So if we set $\mu_j = h\mathrm{Re}(f_1) + i\lambda_j$, $j = 1, 2$, then

$$\|WAW^* - \mathrm{diag}\,(\mu_1, \mu_2)\| < \frac{1}{6}, \tag{3.4}$$

by (3.3). Applying Lemma 2.6 to (3.4), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\|h^2-\mu_1\mu_2\| \leq& 2\,(\|WNW^*\|+\|\mathrm{diag}\,(\mu_1,\mu_2)\|)\\ \leq& 2(1+1+\frac{1}{6})\,\frac{1}{6}<1\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$X=\begin{bmatrix}\mu_1 & -(1-h^2)^{1/2}\\ (1-h^2)^{1/2} & \mu_2\end{bmatrix}\in \mathrm{M}_2(C(M)).$$

Since $\|1-\det(X)\|=\|h^2-\mu_1\mu_2\|<1$, X is invertible by Lemma 2.6. Set $W_1=X(X^*X)^{-1/2}\in U_2(C(M))$. Noting that $h(x)=1$, when $x\in M_0$, we have from (3.4),

$$\|W(x)V(x)(W(x))^*-X(x)\|<\frac{1}{6},\quad x\in M_0.$$

Simple computation shows that

$$\|1_2-(X(x))^*X(x)\|<\frac{13}{36},\quad \|1_2-((X(x))^*X(x))^{-1/2}\|<\frac{1}{2},\ \forall\, x\in M_0.$$

Thus,

$$\|W(x)V(x)(W(x))^*-W_1(x)\|<\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{2}(1+\frac{1}{6})<1,\quad x\in M_0.$$

This means that there is a self–adjoint element B_0 in $\mathrm{M}_2(C(M_0))$ such that

$$W(x)V(x)(W(x))^*=\exp(i\,B_0(x))W_1(x),\quad \forall\, x\in M_0.$$

Choose self–adjoint element B in $\mathrm{M}_2(C(M))$ such that $B\big|_{M_0}=B_0$ and put

$$U=W^*\exp(i\,B)W_1W=\begin{bmatrix}u_{11} & u_{12}\\ u_{21} & u_{22}\end{bmatrix}\in U_2(C(M)),$$

$f=(u_{11},u_{21})^T$. Then $f\colon M\to \mathbf{S^3}$ is continuous with $f\big|_{M_0}=f_0$, a contradicition. $\square$

Let $\mathrm{M}_\infty(C(M))=\bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathrm{M}_n(C(M))$ under the inclusion

$$i_n\colon \mathrm{M}_n(C(M))\to \mathrm{M}_{n+1}(C(M)) \text{ given by } i_n(a)=\mathrm{diag}\,(a,0).$$

It is well–known that there is an one–to–one and onto correspodence between n–dimensional complex vector bundles over M and projections in $\mathrm{M}_\infty(C(M))$ with rank n (i.e., p is a projection in $\mathrm{M}_m(C(M))$ with m large enough such that $\mathrm{tr}\,(p)=n$). Let $\mathrm{V}^1_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$ denote the isomorphic class of all 1–dimensional complex vector bundles over M. Then from [10], $\mathrm{V}^1_{\mathbb{C}}(M)\cong \mathrm{H}^2(M,\mathbb{Z})$. Thus $\mathrm{H}^2(M,\mathbb{Z})\cong 0$ iff all 1–dimensional complex vector bundles over M is trivial iff ever projection with rank one in $\mathrm{M}_\infty(C(M))$ is equivalent to the form $\mathrm{diag}\,(1,0_s)\in \mathrm{M}_{s+1}(C(M))$ for sufficiently large s.

Theorem 3.4. *Let M be a compact Hausdorff space such that $C(M)$ is* (AD). *Then* $\dim M\leq 2$ *and* $\mathrm{H}^2(M,\mathbb{Z})\cong 0$.

Proof. Let A be any closed subspace of M and let a be any self–adjoint element in $\mathrm{M}_n(C(A))$ for $n\geq 2$. Then we can find a self–adjoint element $\hat{a}\in \mathrm{M}_n(C(M))$ such that $\hat{a}\big|_A=a$. This means that $C(A)$ is (AD) when $C(M)$ is (AD).

Now let p be a projection in $\mathrm{M}_n(C(A))$ with $\mathrm{rank}\,(p(x))=1$, $\forall\, x\in A$. Then by Lemma 3.2, there are projections $p_1,\cdots,p_n\in C(A)$ and $W\in \mathrm{M}_n(C(A))$ such that

$$WpW^*=\mathrm{diag}\,(p_1,\cdots,p_n) \tag{3.5}$$

Thus,

$$p_1(x)+\cdots+p_n(x)=\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{diag}\,(p_1(x),\cdots,p_n(x))=\mathrm{Tr}(p(x))=1,$$

$\forall\, x\in A$ and hence $p_ip_j=0,\ i\neq j,\ i,j=1,\cdots,n.$ Set

$$S=\begin{bmatrix} p_1 & \cdots & p_n\\ 0 & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & \cdots & 0\end{bmatrix}W.$$

Then $S^*S=p,\ SS^*=\mathrm{diag}\,(1,0_{n-1})$ by (3.5). This shows that $\mathrm{H}^2(A,\mathbb{Z})\cong 0$.

Since $\dim M\leq 3$ by Lemma 3.3 and $i^*\colon \mathrm{H}^2(M,\mathbb{Z})\to\mathrm{H}^2(A,\mathbb{Z})$ is surjective, it follows that $\dim M\leq 2$. □

4. A SUFFICIENT CONDITION

In this section, we will prove following theorm:

Theorem 4.1. *Let M be compact Hausdorff space with* $\dim M\leq 2$ *and* $\mathrm{H}^2(M,\mathbb{Z})\cong 0$. *Then $C(M)$ is* (AD). *Precisely, let A be a self–adjoint element in $M_n(C(M))$ $(n\geq 2)$ and let $\lambda_1(x)\geq\cdots\geq\lambda_n(x)$ be the eigenvalues of $A(x)$, ordered non–increasingly and counted with their multiplicity for each $x\in M$. Then for any $\epsilon>0$, there is $U\in U_n(C(M))$ such that*

$$\|U^*(x)A(x)U(x)-\mathrm{diag}\,(\lambda_1(x),\cdots,\lambda_n(x))\|<\epsilon,\ \forall\, x\in M.$$

To prove this theorm, we need two lemmas.

Lemma 4.2. [1, Proposition 1.1] *Let M be a compact Hausdorff space with* $\dim M\leq n$. *Then for any real–valued continuous fuctions $f_1,\cdots,f_{n+1}$ on M, there are real–valued continuous functions $g_1,\cdots,g_{n+1}$ on M such that $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n+1}g_i^2$ is invertible in $C(M)$ and $\|f_i-g_i\|<\epsilon,\ i=1,\cdots,n+1$.*

Let H_1,H_2 be two complex Hilbert spaces and let $B(H_1,H_2)$ denote the set of all bounded linear operators from H_1 to H_2. For $T\in B(H_1,H_2)$, we denote by $\mathrm{Ker}\,T$ (resp. $\mathrm{Ran}\,(T)$) the null space (resp. range) of T.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $T(x)$ be a continuous map from M to $B(H_1,H_2)$ such that* $\dim\mathrm{Ker}\,T(x)=n$ *and* $\mathrm{Ran}\,(T(x))$ *is closed in H_2, $\forall\, x\in M$. Set*

$$E(T)=\{(x,\xi)\in M\times H_1|\,T(x)\xi=0\},\ \pi(x,\xi)=x,\ \forall\,(x,\xi)\in E_T.$$

Then $(E(T),\pi,M)$ is an n–dimensional complex vector bundle over M.

Proof. Let $x_0\in M$ be an arbitrary point. Let P (resp. Q) be the projection of H_1 (resp. H_2) onto $\mathrm{Ker}\,T(x_0)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Ran}\,(T(x_0))$. Then there is $G\in B(H_2,H_1)$ such that $GT(x_0)=I_{H_1}-P$, $T(x_0)G=Q$ (G is called the generalized inverse of $T(x_0)$, denoted by $T(x_0)^+$).

Since $T(x)$ is continuous at x_0, we can find a closed neighbourhood $U(x_0)$ of x_0 in M such that $\|T(x)-T(x_0)\|<\dfrac{1}{\|A\|}$ whenever $x\in U(x_0)$. Thus, $\phi(x)=(I_{H_1}+G(T(x)-$

$T(x_0)))^{-1}$ is a continuous map from $U(x_0)$ to the the group of invertible operators in $B(H_1)$ and furthermore, $\operatorname{Ker} T(x) = \phi(x)\operatorname{Ker} T(x_0)$ by [2, Proposition 3.1]. Now let $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$ be a basis for $\operatorname{Ker} T(x_0)$ and put $e_j(x) = \phi(x)e_j$, $j = 1, \cdots, n$, $x \in U(x_0)$. Then $\{e_1(x), \cdots, e_n(x)\}$ forms a continuous basis for $\operatorname{Ker} T(x)$, $x \in U(x_0)$. This shows that $(E(T), \pi, M)$ is a vector bundle of dimension n (cf.[6]). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We firt assume that $A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12}^* \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ is self–adjoint in $\mathrm{M}_2(C(M))$. Write $a_{12} = a_{12}^{(1)} + i\, a_{12}^{(2)}$. Since $\dim M \le 2$, it follows from Lemma 4.2 that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there are continuous functions $b_1, b_2, b_3 \colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|a_{11} - a_{22} - b_1\| < \epsilon,\ \|a_{12}^{(1)} - b_2\| < \epsilon,\ \|a_{12}^{(2)} - b_3\| < \epsilon$$

and $b_1^2 + b_2^2 + b_3^2$ is invertible. Set $b = b_2 + ib_3$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & b^* \\ b & a_{11} - b_1 \end{bmatrix}$. Then

$$\|A - B\| = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (b - a_{12})^* \\ b - a_{12} & a_{22} - a_{11} - b_1 \end{bmatrix} \right\| < 2\,\epsilon \tag{4.1}$$

and for each $x \in M$, two eigenvalues of $B(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1(x) &= \frac{1}{2}\left[2a_{11}(x) - b_1(x) + \sqrt{b_1^2(x) + 4|b(x)|^2}\right], \\ \mu_2(x) &= \frac{1}{2}\left[2a_{11}(x) - b_1(x) - \sqrt{b_1^2(x) + 4|b(x)|^2}\right] \end{aligned}$$

are not equal for each $x \in M$. Thus, $\operatorname{Ker}(B(x) - \mu_j(x)) = 1$, $\forall\, x \in M$, $j = 1, 2$ and hence by Lemma 4.3 $(E(B - \mu_j), \pi, M)$ is an one–dimensional complex vector bundle which is also trivial for $\mathrm{H}^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \cong 0$, $j = 1, 2$. This implies that there are continuous maps $\xi_1, \xi_2 \colon M \to \mathbb{C}^2$ with $\|\xi_j\| = 1$ such that $B(x)\xi_j(x) = \mu_j(x)\xi_j(x)$, $\forall\, x \in M$ and $j = 1, 2$. Moreover, from $\mu_1(x) > \mu_2(x)$, we have $(\xi_1(x), \xi_2(x)) = 0$, $\forall\, x \in M$. Put $U(x) = (\xi_1(x), \xi_2(x))$, $x \in M$. Then $U \in U_2(C(M))$ and

$$U^*(x)B(x)U(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1(x) & \\ & \mu_2(x) \end{bmatrix}. \tag{4.2}$$

Let $\lambda_1(x), \lambda_2(x)$ be the eigenvalus of $A(x)$, for each $x \in M$. Then by Corollary 2.5 (2) and (4.1), $\|\lambda_j - \mu_j\| < 2\epsilon$, $j = 1, 2$. Finally, combining this with (4.1) and (4.2), we obtain $\|U^*AU - \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)\| < 4\epsilon$.

Now Let $A = (a_{ij})_{n\times n}$ with $a_{ij} \in C(M)$ and $a_{ij}^* = a_{ji}$, $i, j = 1, \cdots, n$, $n \ge 3$. By Lemma 2.1, $\operatorname{tsr}(C(M)) \le 2$ and $\operatorname{gsr}(C(M)) \le 2$ when $\dim M \le 2$. Thus by Lemma 2.2, for any $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, there are $b_1, \cdots, b_{n-1} \in C(M)$ with $b_1, \cdots, b_{n-2} > 0$ and $U_1 \in U_n(C(M))$ such that

$$\left\| U_1^*AU_1 - \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & b_1 & & \\ b_1 & a_{22} & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & b_{n-1}^* \\ & & b_{n-1} & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \right\| < (n-1)^{3/2}\frac{\epsilon}{(n-1)^{3/2}} = \epsilon. \tag{4.3}$$

Write $c_j = a_{jj} - a_{nn}$, $j = 1, \cdots, n$ and

$$B_0 = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & b_1 & & \\ b_1 & c_2 & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & b^*_{n-1} \\ & & b_{n-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_k = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & b_1 & & \\ b_1 & c_2 & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & b^*_{k-1} \\ & & b_{k-1} & c_k, \end{bmatrix}$$

$k = 2, \cdots, n-1$. Put $q_k = \det(B_k)$, $q_1 = c_1$, $q_0 = 1$, $k = 2, \cdots, n-1$. Then $q_1, \cdots, q_{n-1}$ are real–valued functions in $C(M)$ and

$$q_k = c_k q_{k-1} - b^2_{k-1} q_{k-2}, \ k = 2, \cdots, n-1. \tag{4.4}$$

From (4.3), we can deduce that $q^2_{k-1} + q^2_{k-2}$ is invertible in $C(M)$, $k = 2, \cdots, n-1$. Write $b_{n-1} = b^{(1)}_{n-1} + i b^{(2)}_{n-1}$. Since $\dim M \le 2$, it follows from Lemma 4.2 that there are real continuous functions $d^{(1)}_{n-1}, d^{(2)}_{n-1}, b$ on M such that

$$\|b^{(j)}_{n-1} - d^{(j)}_{n-1}\| < \epsilon, \quad \|q_{n-1} - b\| < \frac{\min\{\epsilon, m\epsilon, 0.5m^2\}}{(\|q_{n-2}\| + \|q_{n-3}\|)\|(q^2_{n-2} + q^2_{n-3})^{-1}\|},$$

$j = 1, 2$ and $b^2 + (d^{(1)}_{n-1})^2 + (d^{(2)}_{n-1})^2$ is invertible in $C(M)$, where $m = \min\limits_{x \in M} b_{n-2}(x) > 0$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} b^2_{n-2}(x) - \frac{(b(x) - q_{n-1}(x))q_{n-3}(x)}{q^2_{n-2}(x) + q^2_{n-3}(x)} &\ge m^2 - \|(q^2_{n-2} + q^2_{n-3})^{-1}(b - q_{n-1})q_{n-3}\| \\ &\ge m^2 - \|(q^2_{n-2} + q^2_{n-3})^{-1}\|\|(b - q_{n-1})\|\|q_{n-3}\| \\ &\ge m^2 - 0.5m^2 = 0.5m^2 > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Put $d_{n-1} = d^{(1)}_{n-1} + i\, d^{(2)}_{n-1}$ and

$$c'_{n-1} = c_{n-1} + \frac{(b - q_{n-1})q_{n-2}}{q^2_{n-2} + q^2_{n-3}}, \quad b'_{n-2} = \Big(b^2_{n-2} - \frac{(b - q_{n-1})q_{n-3}}{q^2_{n-2} + q^2_{n-3}}\Big)^{1/2} > 0$$

$$B'_{n-1} = \begin{bmatrix} B_{n-2} & X \\ X^T & c'_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad B = \begin{bmatrix} B'_{n-1} & Y \\ Y^T & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $X = (0, \cdots, 0, b'_{n-2})^T \in (C(M))^{n-2}$, $Y = (0, \cdots, 0, d_{n-1})^T \in (C(M))^{n-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|c'_{n-1} - c_{n-1}\| <& \epsilon, \ \|b'_{n-2} - b_{n-2}\| < \epsilon, \ \|B'_{n-1} - B_{n-1}\| < 3\epsilon \\ \|B - B_0\| \le& \|B'_{n-1} - B_{n-1}\| + 2\|d_{n-1} - b_{n-1}\| < 6\epsilon \text{ and} \\ \det(B'_{n-1}) =& c'_{n-1} q_{n-2} - (b'_{n-2})^2 q_{n-3} = b. \end{aligned}$$

Now let $\mu(x)$ be an eigenvalue of $B(x)$ for each $x \in M$. Put $M_1 = \{x \in M \,|\, d_{n-1}(x) = 0\}$. Let $x_0 \in M \backslash M_1$. Then $d_{n-1}(x_0) = |d_{n-1}(x_0)| \exp(i\theta)$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1_{n-1} & \\ & \exp(-i\theta) \end{bmatrix} B(x_0) \begin{bmatrix} 1_{n-1} & \\ & \exp(i\theta) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B'_{n-1}(x_0) & Z \\ Z^T & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $Z = (0, \cdots, 0, |d_{n-1}(x_0)|)^T \in (C(M))^{n-1}$. In this case, $\dim \operatorname{Ker}(B(x_0) - \mu(x_0) I_n) = 1$ by Lemma 2.4 (1). Suppose that $x_0 \in M_1$. If $\mu(x_0) \ne 0$, then $\mu(x_0)$ must be an eigenvalue of $B'_{n-1}(x_0)$. Thus, by Lemma 2.4 (1), $\dim \operatorname{Ker}(B'_{n-1}(x_0) - \mu(x_0) I_{n-1}) = 1$ and hence $\dim \operatorname{Ker}(B(x_0) - \mu(x_0) I_n) = 1$; If $\mu(x_0) = 0$, then from $b^2(x) + |d_{n-1}(x)|^2 \ne 0$, $\forall\, x \in M$ and $\operatorname{Det}(B'_{n-1}(x_0)) = b(x_0)$, $d_{n-1}(x_0) = 0$, we have

$\mathrm{Det}(B'_{n-1}(x_0)) \neq 0$, i.e., 0 is not the eigenvalue of $B'_{n-1}(x_0)$, so $\dim \mathrm{Ker}\,(B(x_0) - \mu(x_0)I_n) = 1$.

The above shows that $\dim \mathrm{Ker}\,(B(x) - \mu(x)I_n) = 1$ for each $x \in M$. Let $\mu_1(x) \geq \cdots \geq \mu_n(x)$ be the eigenvalues of $B(x)$, ordered non–increasingly and counted with its multiplicity, for each $x \in M$. Then $\mu_1(x) > \cdots > \mu_n(x)$, $\forall\, x \in M$ and there are continuous maps $\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_n \colon M \to \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\|\xi_i\| = 1$ such that $B(x)\xi_i(x) = \mu_i(x)\xi_i(x)$, $\forall\, x \in M$ and $i = 1, \cdots, n$ by Lemma 4.3 and the assumption $\mathrm{H}^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \cong 0$. Moreover, $(\xi_i(x), \xi_j(x)) = 0$, $i \neq j$, $i, j = 1, \cdots, n$, $\forall\, x \in M$. Put $U_2(x) = (\xi_1(x), \cdots, \xi_n(x))$, $t \in M$. Then $U_2 \in U_n(C(M))$ and

$$U_2^*(x)B(x)U_2(x) = \mathrm{diag}\,(\mu_1(x), \cdots, \mu_n(x)),\ \forall\, x \in M.$$

Put $U = U_1U_2$. Then by (4.3),

$$\begin{aligned}\|U^*AU - &\mathrm{diag}\,(\mu_1(x) + a_{nn}, \cdots, \mu_n(x) + a_{nn})\|\\ \leq &\|U_2^*(U_1^*AU_1 - B_0 - a_{nn}1_n)U_2 + U_2^*(B_0 - B)U_2\\ &+ U_2^*BU_2 - \mathrm{diag}\,(\mu_1(x), \cdots, \mu_n(x))\|\\ < &\epsilon + 6\epsilon = 7\epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\lambda_1(x) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n(x)$ be the eigenvalues of $A(x)$, ordered non–increasingly and counted with its multiplicity, for each $x \in M$. Then we have

$$\|\lambda_j - \mu_j - a_{nn}\| < 7\epsilon, \quad j = 1, \cdots, n$$

by Corollary 2.5 and so that $\|U^*AU - \mathrm{diag}\,(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n)\| < 14\epsilon$.

Now we consider the approximate diagonalization of unitary matrices over $C(M)$. We have

Proposition 4.4. *Let M be compact metric space.*

1. *If $\dim M \leq 2$ and $\mathrm{H}^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \cong 0$, then every unitary element in $U_n^0(C(M))$ is approximately diagonalizable*;
2. *Every unitary matrix over $C(M)$ is approximately diagonalizable iff $\dim M \leq 2$ and $\mathrm{H}^j(M, \mathbb{Z}) \cong 0$, $j = 1, 2$.*

Proof. (1) Let $U \in U_n^0(C(M))$. By [12, Theorem 2.1], for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, there is self–adjoint element A in $\mathrm{M}_n(C(M))$ such that $\|U - \exp(iA)\| < \epsilon/2$. Since A can be approximate diagonalization by Theorem 4.1, we get that by simple computation, U is approximately diagonalizable.

(2) Assume that $\dim M \leq 2$ and $\mathrm{H}^j(M, \mathbb{Z}) \cong 0$, $j = 1, 2$. Then we have $\mathrm{csr}\,(C(M)) \leq 2$ and for any $U \in U_n(C(M))$ there are $U_0 \in U_n^0(CM))$ and $a \in U(C(M))$ such that $U = U_0\mathrm{diag}\,(1_{n-1}, a)$ by [21, Lemma 2.1]. Note that $U(C(M))/U_0(C(M)) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(M, \mathbb{Z})$ (Arens and Royden's Theorem). So $U_n(C(M))$ is connected $n \geq 2$ when $\mathrm{H}^1(M, \mathbb{Z}) \cong 0$. Then the claim follows from (1).

On the other hand, if ever unitary matrix over $C(M)$ is approximately diagonalizable, then for any self–adjoint matrix $A \in \mathrm{M}_n(C(M))$, $n \geq 2$, we can deduce from following equation $V = \dfrac{A}{\|A\|} + i\sqrt{1_n - \Big(\dfrac{A}{\|A\|}\Big)^2} \in U_n(C(M))$ that $A = \dfrac{1}{2}\|A\|(V+V^*)$ is approximately diagonalizable and hence $\dim M \le 2$ and $\mathrm{H}^2(M,\mathbb{Z}) \cong 0$ by Theorem 3.4.

Now we prove $\mathrm{H}^1(M,\mathbb{Z}) \cong 0$. Let $f \in U(C(M))$ such that the equivalece class $[f]$ of f in $U(C(M))/U_0(C(M))$ is a generator. Since the unitary matrix $U = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ f & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is approximately diagonalizable, there are $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in U(C(M))$ and $W \in U_2(C(M))$ such that

$$\|WUW^* - \mathrm{diag}\,(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)\| < \frac{1}{6}. \tag{4.5}$$

By using Lemma 2.6 to (4.5), we get that

$$\|\lambda_1 + \lambda_2\| < \frac{1}{3}, \quad \|-f - \lambda_1\lambda_2\| < \frac{2}{3}$$

and hence $\|f - \lambda_1^2\| < 1$. Put $\lambda = |\lambda_1|^{-1}\lambda_1$. Then $[f] = 2[\lambda]$ in $U(C(M))/U_0(C(M))$. Noting that $\mathrm{H}^1(M,\mathbb{Z})$ is torsion–free, we have $[f] = 0$. $\square$

REFERENCES

[1] L.G. Brown and G.K. Pedersen, *C^*–algebras of real rank zero*, J. Funct. Anal., 99 (1991), 131–149.

[2] G. Chen and Y. Xue, *Perturbation analysis for the operator equation $Tx = b$ in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 212 (1997), 107–125.

[3] M.D. Choi and G.A. Elliott, *Density of selfadjoint elements with finite spectrum in an irrational C^*–algebra*, Math. Scand., 67 (1990), 73–86.

[4] J. Dieudonné, *An extension of Tietze's theorem*, Pacific J. Math., 1 (1951), 353–367.

[5] K. Grove and G.K. Pedersen, *Diagonalizing matrices over $C(X)$*, J. Funct. Anal., 59 (1984), 65–89.

[6] D. Husemoller, *Fibre Bundles*, (reprinted), Springer–Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1976.

[7] W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension Theory*, Princeton University Press, 1948.

[8] R.V. Kadison, *Diagonlizing matrices*, Amer. J. Math., 106 (1984), 1451–1468.

[9] H. Lin, *An Introduction to the Classification of Amenable C^*–algebras*, World Scientific, 2001.

[10] M. Karoubi, *K–Theory,Springer–Verlag*, Berlin, 1978.

[11] V. Nistor, *Stable range for tensor products of extensions of $\mathcal{K}$ by $C(X)$*, J. Operator Theory, 16 (1986), 387–396.

[12] P.C. Phillips, *Simple C^*–algebras with the property weak (FU)*, Math. Scand., 69 (1991), 127–151.

[13] M.A. Rieffel, *Dimensionl and stable rank in the K–theory of C^*–Algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc., 46 (1983), 301–333.

[14] M.A. Rieffel, *the homotopy groups of the unitary groups of non–commutative tori*, J. Operator Theory, 17 (1987), 237–254.

[15] M. Rørdam, *Classification of nuclear C^*–algeras*, (In J. Cuntz and V. Jones, editors, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences) Vol 126, Springer–Verlag, 2001.

[16] K. Thomsen, *Homomorphisms between finite dirct sums of circle algebras*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 32 (1992), 33–50.

[17] J.H. Wilkinson, *The Algebraic Eigenvalue Problem*, Oxford University Press, 1965.

[18] Y. Xue, *The connected stable rank of the purely infinite simple C^*–algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 127 (1999), 3671–3676.

[19] Y. Xue, *The general stable rank in non–stable K–Theory*, Rocky Mount. J. Math., 30 (2000), 761–775.

[20] Y. Xue, *The K–groups of $C(M) \times_\theta \mathbb{Z}_p$ for certain pairs (M, θ)*, J. Operator Theory, 46 (2001), 337–354.

[21] Y. Xue, *Classification of $C(M) \times_\theta \mathbb{Z}_p$ for certain pairs (M, θ)*, Filomat, (**to appear**).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EAST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY
SHANGHAI 200241, P.R. CHINA
E-mail address: `yfxue@math.ecnu.edu.cn`